DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Refore the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

in the Matter of)	
Amendment of Section 73.202(b) Tahle of Allotments FM Broadcast Stations (Lahaina and Waianae, Hawaii))))	MB Docket No. 02-387 RECEIVED RM - 10623 APR 2 1 2003
To: Assistant Chief, Audio Division		FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE RESPONSE TO REPLY COMMENTS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO STRIKE REPLY COMMENTS

Pacific Radio Group, Inc. ("Pacific") hereby moves for acceptance of the accompanying response to the reply comments of Cox Radio, Inc. ("Cox") in the above-captioned proceeding. The purpose of the response is to respond to an argtiment Cox raised for the first time in reply comments, even though Cox had had ample opportunity to participate at an earlier stage in this proceeding and chose not to do su. If this argument had been raised in comments, as it should have been. Pacific would have had an opportunity as of right to rebut the argument. Pacific respectfully requests that the Commission accept and consider the accompanying response for purposes of completing the i-ecord in this proceeding.

The Commission may accept this supplement as a matter within its discretion. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.415(d); **See** *Winslow, Camp Verde, Mayer and* **Sun** *City West. Arizonu,* 16 FCC Rcd 9551 (2001) (acceptance of supplement containing material of which the Commission may take official notice)

If the Cornmission declines to accept this supplement, then it should strike the reply comments **Cox** filed in this proceeding on March 3, 2002. Cox's argument should have been raised in comments, and Cox gave no reason why it could not have done so. It would be unfair

عمسمين الأمطاء سا

Media Bureau

to accept a party's one-sided allegations without affording the party against whom the allegations are directed the opportunity to leply.

WHEREFORE, Pacific respectfully moves that the Commission accept and consider the accompanying response to Cox's reply comments in this proceeding, or if it is unable to do so, that it strike the reply comments of Cox from the record.

Respectfully submitted,

PACIFIC RADLO GROUP, INC.

Mark N. Lipp

J. Thomas Nolan

Shook, Hardy & Bacon

600 14th Street, NW

Suite 800

Washington, DC 20005-2004

(202) 783-8400

Its Counsel

April 21, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lisa M. Balzer, a secretary in the law firm of Shook, Hardy and Bacon, do hereby certify that I have on this 21st day of April, 2003, have caused to he mailed by first class mail, postape prepaid, copies of the foregoing "Motion to Accept Response to Reply Comments, or on the Alternative, to Strike Reply Comments" to the following:

R. Bartlien Gorrnan, **Esq.**Federal Communications Commission
Audio Division
445 12th Street, SW
Room 3-A224
Washington, DC 20554

Jay Dietz Ohana Broadcasters Corporation 2234 Aha Niu **Place** Honolulu, HI 96821

Kcvin F. Reed Nam E. Kim Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-6802 (Counsel to Cox Radio, Inc.)

99416v1